Dry eye disease (DED) is one of the most common conditions encountered by ophthalmologists, with up to 50% of patients reporting symptoms. For many decades, DED was thought to be a simple condition caused by reduction of the aqueous phase of the tear film, but it has been redefined as: “a multifactorial disease of the tears and ocular surface that results in symptoms of discomfort, visual disturbance, and tear film instability with potential damage to the ocular surface, accompanied by increased osmolarity of the tear film and inflammation of the ocular surface.” Treatment options for DED include artificial tears, lipid-containing lubricants, liposomal spray, inserts, anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressant drops, antibiotics, oral dietary omega-3 essential fatty acids, amniotic membrane drops, autologous serum, intense-pulsed-light, thermal pulsation therapy, punctual plugs, moisture-retaining spectacles, hydrophilic bandage contact lenses, amniotic membrane lenses, and secretagogues. However, some of these treatment options have limited efficacy for the cell-mediated immune response and inflammation associated with DED. Only two drugs—cyclosporine and lifitegrast—are approved for the treatment of DED, and the disease represents an area of high unmet medical need, with a significant number of patients not receiving treatment.

In an expert interview, Cynthia Matossian discusses the challenges of treating DED, together with the highlights of her recent research and provides advice for ophthalmologists aiming to attain leadership roles.

Q. Could you give us some background behind the cyclosporine 0.1% DED study presented at Hawaiian Eye?

For over a decade, cyclosporine A (Restasis®, Allergan, Dublin, Ireland) has been the only drug available for the treatment of DED. A new drug, lifitegrast (Xiidra®, Shire [now Takeda], Lexington, MA, USA), which has a different mechanism of action, has been more recently introduced. In the USA, access to these drugs through insurance systems can be challenging since a pre-authorization is often required. This means that practitioners have to demonstrate that the patient has failed alternative treatments such as artificial tears. This process is extremely time-consuming and is an additional burden on physicians and their staff. An alternative option is a new formulation: cyclosporine with chondroitin sulphate (Klarity-C, Imprimis, San Diego, CA, USA), which is available by direct pay model, meaning the patient does not go through their insurance company. The medication is provided three bottles at a time in order to minimize shipment costs, and delivered to the patient at home. The bottles cost around $50 each. Many patients prefer this option as it is usually less expensive than their insurance plan.
I wanted to learn more about this product so, along with two other physicians, Dr William Trattler and Dr Jennifer Loh, both of whom practice in Florida, and myself in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, we did a pilot study of about 30 patients. We looked at symptom improvement, ocular surface disease index (OSDI) score and corneal staining in each eye pre- and post-treatment initiation in patients who used this product twice daily over a 90-day period.

Q. Can you talk us through the results so far? What are the next steps in terms of follow-up?
The eye scores improved as an aggregate. At the start of the study, 62% of patients had an OSDI score of severe. This improved to 12% after 90 days. There was also a statistically significant improvement in corneal staining in patients taking cyclosporine/chondroitin sulphate over 90 days. One limitation of the study was that we didn’t assess how patients ranked the level of burning and the feeling of the drug going into their eye. Anecdotal reports from the study participants suggest that the product was more comfortable to use and created less sting and burn on instillation compared to traditional cyclosporine products.

On the basis of these findings we are performing an extension study involving around 75–100 patients, with the aim of producing more robust data. Since this is an extension study, we cannot add any new parameters; however, in the future, we may consider adding additional variables.

Q. What are the main challenges you face when treating patients with DED?
There are many challenges. One key challenge for physicians attempting to treat DED is that some patients are asymptomatic. When I tell them they have DED, they react with disbelief because they don’t feel anything. This is an issue when they have chronic, progressive disease and need lifelong medication to prevent the disease becoming symptomatic. Performing early diagnostic tests that can objectively demonstrate abnormal values to patients is critically important. I use imaging to demonstrate abnormalities in meibomian glands, which gets the message across, as well as a high osmolality number or a positive InflammaDry test (Quidel, San Diego, California, USA) where the patient can see the red stripe, which means they have high levels of inflammatory biomarkers on the ocular surface. Showing objective data to patients helps them to believe they have DED, even if it is asymptomatic, and to accept treatment.

Another challenge is the number of patients who discontinue their treatment over time (this could be weeks or months). It is very difficult to adhere to daily treatment for a chronic disease. Cost is also an issue in keeping patients on therapy.

Q. How do you see the treatment landscape changing to increase treatment adherence in DED?
Current treatment involves one drop administered twice a day. If we can minimize the effort involved in treatment, we might get greater compliance. Researchers are currently evaluating inserts that would go into the inferior or superior puncta and deliver drugs over a period of time; at present the duration is 30 days. Recently an intracanalicular insert for dexamethasone (Dextenza®, Ocular Therapeutix, Bedford, Massachusetts, USA) was approved by the FDA for the treatment of ocular pain after ophthalmic surgery. Perhaps this product could be used off-label for ocular surface disease during exacerbation of DED. This would not be suitable for long-term use as it is a steroid, but could provide relief to patients during flare-ups.