Keratoconus in a 4-year-old Girl with a Strong Family History of Keratoconus
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Purpose: To present the diagnosis and management of the youngest-described keratoconus patient, despite the absence of any systemic or local risk factors except the presence of a strongly positive family history of keratoconus. Methods: A retrospective case report. Results: A 4-year-old female child with free past medical and ocular histories, and a strongly positive family history of keratoconus, presented with deterioration in her vision in the previous 6 months as observed by the family. Objective/subjective refraction showed high astigmatism. Corneal tomography using the Scheimpflug camera Pentacam® HR confirmed the diagnosis of keratoconus in both eyes. Conventional corneal collagen crosslinking (CXL) procedure was performed shortly with a stabilization effect observed over a 1-year follow up period. Conclusion: Keratoconus onset may occur in early childhood, especially in patients with strong family history of the disease even in the absence of systemic or ocular risk factors. So, early screening before the age of 4 years should be done for all children of a family history of keratoconus. Crosslinking may be an effective and safe procedure for very young patients diagnosed with keratoconus.
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Case report
A 4-year-old female child visited our ophthalmology clinic for a check-up. Her past medical history was unremarkable. She did not have atopy, seasonal allergy, asthma or any connective tissue disease. Her ocular history was also negative for eye trauma, eye rubbing or other ocular risk factors for keratoconus. Her family history was strongly positive for keratoconus; her father, uncle and grandfather were all known cases of keratoconus who were diagnosed in their third decade of life and needed multiple penetrating keratoplasty procedures. In addition, her only brother has high myopic astigmatism and he was on regular follow-up in our clinic. The patient was presented to our cornea and refractive surgery clinic by her parents after they noticed that she had difficulties in recognizing distant objects over the previous 6 months. Slit lamp examination was normal without visible signs of keratoconus or other signs related to connective tissue diseases such as blue sclera. Her cycloplegic refraction was +1.5 -5.00 x 8 for her right eye and +1.5 -4.5 x 167 for her left eye. Uncorrected distance visual acuity (UCDVA) was 0.2 oculus uterque (OU) (Snellen chart, decimal), the best corrected distance visual acuity (BCDVA) was 0.4 OU.

Keratoconus is an ectatic corneal disorder characterized by a change in the organization and structure of the cornea. It is usually progressive and bilateral but asymmetrical. Keratoconus usually manifests during adolescence and early adulthood.1

Riboflavin-ultraviolet-A (UVA) cross-linking (CXL) is a technique introduced in the past decade for the treatment of progressive keratectasia and keratoconus.2 Several clinical studies have demonstrated that CXL effectively slows keratoconus progression in adult eyes. Recently, CXL has been recommended as an optimal intervention for progressive keratoconus affecting the pediatric population, bearing in mind that pediatric keratoconus has more debilitating progression compared to adult onset type.2-4

Herein we report a case of a 4-year-old female child diagnosed with keratoconus for whom corneal collagen CXL was performed. To our knowledge, this is the youngest diagnosed patient with keratoconus without any systemic disease or other ocular diseases as risk factors/etiologies for keratoconus. Only two cases with similar ages have been reported: a 4-year-old girl diagnosed with bilateral keratoconus secondary to chronic persistent eye rubbing,6 and a 4-year-old child with keratoconus and Down syndrome for whom corneal collagen CXL was performed twice.7
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Corneal tomography using the Scheimpflug camera Pentacam® HR (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) was performed and showed high keratometric readings (right eye maximum keratometric reading [Kmax]: 50.5 diopters [D]; left eye: 49.4 D), thin corneas (thickness at the thinnest location was 375 μm in her right eye and 349 μm in her left eye), and abnormal tongue-like expansions on the elevation maps were also noticed (Figures 1 and 2). Based on these findings, keratoconus was diagnosed. The patient was also referred to the pediatrician for further genetic screening and his report was negative for any systemic or genetic disease.

A discussion was had with the family about the risks and benefits of corneal collagen CXL for treating progressive keratoconus, especially in this age group where not enough data in the literature is available for its use in ages younger than 7 years. The family agreed, and a written informed consent was obtained for the procedure after explaining to them its possible risks, side effects and the possible need for another session. Bilateral conventional collagen CXL procedure according to Wollensak et al. was performed under general anesthesia. It involved removing the central 9 mm of the epithelium with a corneal brush (corneal white to white distance was 11.3 mm). A hypotonic riboflavin solution (Peschke® H, Peschke Trade GmbH, Hünenberg, Switzerland) was applied to the corneal surface every 3 minutes for 30 minutes before irradiation to restore the corneal thickness from about 340 μm directly after epithelial layer removal to >400 μm. Irradiation with UVA of 370 nm and 5.4 J/cm² was applied for 30 minutes (VEGA CBM-X-Linker, C.S.O. Srl, Florence, Italy). Bandage contact lenses were placed for 5 days and then removed after complete corneal epithelial healing was observed. Gatifloxacin/dexamethasone eye drops were used for 1 week in addition to lubricant eye drops. Then fluorometholone 0.1% was used four times daily and tapered over 4 weeks. The patient was followed-up at regular intervals; grade 1–2 corneal haze was noticed at the 1-week visit and faded gradually in the following visits (a minimal grade 1 subepithelial haze was noticed at the 1-year follow up visit). Three months later, the UCVA improved to 0.4 in her right eye and was stable in her left eye. Subjective refraction was: right eye +0.75 -3.50 x 15, visual acuity (VA): 0.5; left eye + 0.75 -3.75 x 170, VA: 0.5. Temporary glasses were given to the patient.

The 6-month follow-up showed improvement of VA for both eyes to 0.6 using the same refraction/glasses as previous. The 12-month follow-up visit also showed the same previous BCDVA (Table 1).

Corneal tomography using the Scheimpflug camera Pentacam HR was done at the 1-year follow-up visit and showed stabilization of her condition with an improvement of Kmax, flat keratometry and steep keratometry (Figures 3 and 4). There was a drop in the corneal thickness measured by Pentacam, but the values measured by ultrasonic pachymetry showed stable corneal thickness, which is considered more accurate than Pentacam in cases of corneal haze (Table 1). No other complications were seen during the 1-year follow up visit.

Discussion

Keratoconus can present in early childhood. Some of the ocular risk factors associated with keratoconus include corneal injury, eye rubbing, vernal keratoconjunctivitis, retinitis pigmentosa or rigid gas permeable contact lens usage. Some systemic associations include Down syndrome, non-inflammatory connective tissue diseases (such as Ehlers-Danlos syndrome and Marfan syndrome), and mitral valve prolapse. Several genes were investigated including those coding collagen and proteinase inhibitors for the suspicion of a genetic base of keratoconus. Although the most common type of keratoconus is sporadic, many studies have reported the presence of large number of familial keratoconus – the rate ranges from 5.0–27.9%. Keratoconus onset is classically around puberty; its progression is maximal during adolescence and early adulthood. Some studies have showed rapid progression of keratoconus in children (1–3 months) versus adolescents and adults (6–12 months). Severe corneal scarring may result from the progression of keratoconus, for which keratoplasty is inevitable in many cases. So early diagnosis of keratoconus is an important issue, as the progression can be halted using the CXL procedure.

Cross-linking is considered an emerging treatment option for keratoconus in pediatric patients. One of the largest studies, the Siena CXL Pediatrics trial showed stabilization in pediatric patients with keratoconus who underwent CXL with significant visual function improvement.
The study was done in 152 eyes aged 10–18. Other studies have showed the safety of CXL in pediatric patients – e.g. Vinciguerra et al. – showed that endothelial cell density did not alter after applying CXL in patients aged 9–18; the study was done for 40 eyes with a 2-year follow-up.5

There were no ocular or systemic diseases or factors associated with keratoconus in our patient, as the pediatrician’s evaluation showed the patient was totally normal, with no manifestations of any systemic or genetic disease. Unfortunately, advanced genetic tests were unavailable. Several loci have been mapped within families with keratoconus and many studies imply that the disease follows a multifactorial model of transmission, whereas familial keratoconus for the majority follows an autosomal dominant model of inheritance, which we believe is the mode of inheritance in our patient. 9,12 The decision was to proceed for conventional CXL, despite the lack of studies on CXL in patients younger than 7 years. On the other hand, long term efficacy may decline over years and there may be a need for additional CXL procedures. In other words, the achieved corneal stromal stiffening effect after CXL in pediatric patients which halts the progression of keratoconus is transient and may wear off 6–7 years after CXL treatment. This suggests that young patients with keratoconus should be followed closely even after CXL treatment (at least once or twice a year) and be treated again in case of keratoconus reactivation.13

In conclusion, keratoconus onset may occur in early childhood, especially in patients with a family history of the disease, even in the absence of systemic or ocular risk factors. So, early screening before the age of 4 years should be done for all children with a family history of keratoconus. Cross-linking may be an effective and safe procedure for very young patients diagnosed with keratoconus, but general anesthesia is usually required.  

---

**Table 1: Patient’s data summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>k-max</th>
<th>k-flat</th>
<th>k-steep</th>
<th>Thinnest location/ Pentacam®</th>
<th>Thinnest location/ ultrasonic</th>
<th>UCDVA</th>
<th>CDVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>OD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-op</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table shows k-max, k-flat, k-steep, Pentacam® and ultrasonic pachymetry, UDVA and CDVA, preoperatively and at 6 and 12 months postoperatively (green=improvement, red=detereoration, yellow=stable). CDVA = corrected distant visual acuity; k-flat = flat keratometry; k-max = maximum keratometry; k-steep = steep keratometry; OD = oculus dexter/right eye; OS = oculus sinister/left eye; Pre-op = pre-operative; UDVA = uncorrected distant visual acuity.

---

**Figure 3: A comparison map before the crosslinking and 1-year post-crosslinking for the right eye**

**Figure 4: A comparison map before the crosslinking and 1-year post-crosslinking for the left eye**

CXL = cross-linking; OD = oculus dexter/right eye.