Reversing the Rubeotic Rampage – Current Approaches in the Management of Neovascular Glaucoma

Ian A Rodrigues and K Sheng Lim

Department of Ophthalmology, St Thomas’ Hospital, London, UK

Abstract

Neovascular glaucoma is a sight-threatening condition, and can rapidly progress from rubeosis with normal intraocular pressure (IOP), to secondary open-angle glaucoma with raised IOP and finally secondary angle-closure glaucoma with uncontrolled IOP. Early detection and aggressive management with panretinal photocoagulation, intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), topical aqueous suppressants and valved glaucoma drainage surgery can reduce risk of significant visual loss secondary to neovascular glaucoma. This editorial explores some simple measures that can increase surgical success rates and minimise complications.
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Rubeotic or neovascular glaucoma is an aggressive secondary glaucoma, which if untreated, rapidly progresses to permanent and devastating visual loss. First described in 1871, it has also been labelled as haemorrhagic glaucoma, thrombotic glaucoma or congestive glaucoma. It results from neovascularisation of the iris and angle structures as a result of severe, diffuse and chronic retinal ischaemia. The underlying aetiology could be any one from a list of over 20 different potential causes, with central retinal vein occlusion, proliferative diabetic retinopathy and ocular ischaemic syndrome following carotid artery obstruction accounting for over 80%.

Neovascular glaucoma has historically been associated with a very poor prognosis, largely because patients present with established secondary angle-closure glaucoma and significantly reduced vision. Such advanced disease is often refractory to medical and laser treatments. Early diagnosis and aggressive treatment is therefore key to obtaining favourable outcomes.

Practical assessment of patients with neovascular glaucoma or suspected neovascular glaucoma involves careful undilated examination of the iris, and gonioscopy using a high-magnification lens such as Ocular Magna View Gonio (Ocular Instruments Inc., Bellevue, WA, US) is essential to detect early angle neovascularisation, assessment of aqueous flare, and should be followed by retinal examination to determine the underlying pathology. Although neovascularisation usually progresses from the pupil margin towards the angle, angle neovascularisation can sometimes precede iris neovascularisation, especially when due to central retinal vein occlusion. Iris fluorescein angiography can be used to detect rubeosis prior to it being clinical evident on slit lamp biomicroscopy. Assessment of visual potential is also key to directing management options.

The natural course of neovascular glaucoma follows three distinct stages, with associated changes in intraocular pressure (IOP):

1. Rubeosis – angiogenic factors released in response to retinal ischaemia diffuse into the anterior segment and lead to angiogenesis from existing vasculature of the iris and neovascularisation of the angle of the anterior chamber. IOP is usually normal at this stage.
2. Secondary open-angle glaucoma – raised IOP results from a fibrovascular membrane arising from increasing angle neovascularisation, which reduces trabecular meshwork drainage.
3. Secondary angle-closure glaucoma – continued anterior chamber neovascularisation and subsequent contraction of the fibrovascular membrane, causes progressive closure of the anterior chamber angle. Circumferential pulling of the iris over the trabecular meshwork results in very high IOP.

Treatment priorities also differ with each stage of the neovascular process (see Figure 1).

Patients with neovascular glaucoma differ from those with primary open-angle glaucoma or other types of secondary glaucoma in a number of important ways, which determines the most appropriate management (summarised in Figure 2).

Pan-retinal photocoagulation (PRP) is the essential treatment for all eyes with neovascular glaucoma that have visual potential, to address the retinal ischaemia and prevent progression. If detected at the rubeosis stage, this may be sufficient to halt and reverse the neovascularisation. Sufficient laser treatment is essential, as applying 1200–1600 laser burns has been found to be twice as effective in regressing rubeosis...
**Table 1: Clinical experiences**

**Tips for successful diagnosis of neovascular glaucoma:**
- Perform careful undilated examination of the iris and gonioscopy to assess the extent of neovascularisation
- Use fluorescein angiography to detect subclinical neovascularisation
- Look for presence of aqueous flare to signify low aqueous production and risk of postoperative hypotony

**Tips for successful treatment of neovascular glaucoma:**
- Use visual potential to direct management options – if required, consider surgery with valved glaucoma drainage implants when visual potential is hand movements or better and reserve cyclodepressant procedures for cases of very poor visual potential
- Adequate pan-retinal photocoagulation for all eyes with visual potential
- Medical treatment in the form of aqueous suppressants are most beneficial
- Adjunctive intravitreal anti-VEGF injection has multiple benefits
- Use intra-operative intravitreal steroids to reduce intraocular inflammation
- Progression of cataract in neovascular glaucoma is very common and rapid, therefore, always combine glaucoma valve surgery with cataract extraction
- Leave adequate intracameral tube length to reduce risk of late post-operative tube occlusion or consider placing the tube in the ciliary sulcus space

Medical IOP lowering treatment is required if secondary open-angle glaucoma has already developed. Aqueous suppressants in the form of topical beta-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors or alpha-agonists are preferable to prostaglandin analogues which may be ineffective due to obstruction of the uveoscleral outflow pathway. Miotics are also best avoided.

Surgical IOP lowering is usually required to manage neovascular glaucoma once at the secondary angle-closure glaucoma stage. This is the most challenging treatment and has a number of different options. If the visual potential is hand movements or better it is reasonable to pursue surgical options. Given the high levels of VEGF and inflammatory mediators present in patient with neovascular glaucoma, trabeculectomy surgery is at high risk of failure. Success rates after two years have rarely been reported to be in excess of 60% even with mitomycin C augmentation.

In our experience, trabeculectomy is not a suitable option for neovascular glaucoma and drainage implants (tubes) are first choice. Patients with neovascular glaucoma are known to have significantly reduced aqueous production as a result of hypoperfusion of the ciliary body, which can be confirmed by the presence of anterior chamber flare. Glaucoma surgery is therefore associated with high risk of postoperative hypotony, thus our preference is to use a valved glaucoma drainage device such as the Ahmed® Glaucoma Valve (New World Medical, Inc, Rancho Cucamonga, CA, US). The intraocular opening of the tube is more prone to occlusion with membranes and iris tissue, therefore, it is important to leave an adequate intracameral tube length or place the tube in the ciliary sulcus space which is often pathologically expanded. We also recommend pre-operative intravitreal anti-VEGF injection plus intra-operative intravitreal steroids to reduce intraocular inflammation if pseudophakic or combined with cataract surgery.

Cataract progression is usually rapid in this group of patients who will almost all require cataract surgery at some stage. We would therefore strongly recommend valve surgery combined with cataract extraction and intraocular lens implant at the same time.
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In cases of very poor visual potential, the aim of treatment is to control pain, which usually arises from the uncontrolled IOP and subsequent corneal oedema. Cyclodestructive procedures such as transcleral cyclophotocoagulation is successful in achieving symptom control in combination with topical steroids and cycloplegia, albeit with risk of hypotony and phthisis. Additional intravitreal anti-VEGF has also been shown to be a useful adjunct in producing rapid pain relief in refractory neovascular glaucoma. Long-term outcomes and the prognosis of eyes treated with the latest approaches in the management of neovascular glaucoma are lacking from recent literature. However, the addition of intravitreal anti-VEGF has revolutionised the treatment of neovascular glaucoma, and in combination with early detection, thorough pan-retinal photocoagulation and safe surgical intervention should result in long-lasting maintenance of visual functional and acceptable IOP control.