In June 2018 *European Ophthalmic Review* received an enquiry regarding the similarity between the recently published article "Update on Presbyopia-correcting Drops" (*European Ophthalmic Review*, 2017;11(2):99–102 DOI: https://doi.org/10.17925/EOR.2017.11.02.99) and the article "Refractive Surgery’s Holy Grail - Eyedrops for presbyopia" published in the *Ophthalmologist*. Similarities in article structure and content had been identified.

The editorial team, alongside the Editor-in-Chief, approached the authors of the *European Ophthalmic Review* article for comment.

The authors would like to issue the following statement:

*Dear Readers,*

*The English translation and writing of our paper, by the vast majority, has been performed originally. We accept that some sentences, word arrangement and writing manner in our paper is similar to the article by Shafi Balal, Raquel Gil-Cazorla, Shehzad A. Naroo, Anant Sharma, Sunil Shah at https://theophthalmologist.com/issues/0117/refractive-surgerys-holy-grail. However, our paper has been prepared based on searches in Pubmed, Google Scholar, and Google search engine.*

*The article led us to write our paper on presbyopia and we have used some words and writing manner of their paper in our article. However, none of these is completely identical. The article by Balal is a very good and well-designed article, which summarizes presbyopia and drop treatment. We appreciate them for this good article and respect the production and effort for their work. To show this, we referenced their study in our article (reference 39). On the other hand, the review in European Ophthalmic Review was designed, written and checked for plagiarism and similarity by Drs. Karanfil and Turgut (the authors).*

*Burak Turgut*

The article was processed through the journal’s peer review and plagiarism policy, which can be found here:

*Peer Review Policy*

*Plagiarism and Malpractice Statement*